

Original Article

The relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in patients with diabetes mellitus

Desy Sahara Putri Simanjuntak¹, Ariyati Yosi^{1*}, Dina Arwina Dalimunthe¹

¹ Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

* Correspondence to: Ariyati Yosi, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia. E-mail: ariyati.yosi@usu.ac.id

Received: 26 June 2025 / Accepted: 11 September 2025

Abstract

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder characterized by chronic hyperglycaemia that can lead to various complications, including pruritus. The severity of pruritus in DM patients may vary and is influenced by factors such as blood glucose control, diabetic neuropathy, and skin conditions. Both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches are utilized to manage DM and its associated skin conditions. This study aims to analyze the relationship between treatment modalities and pruritus intensity in DM patients. This study employed an observational design with a cross-sectional approach. All eligible study participants were DM patients aged 18–60 years experiencing pruritus. The severity of pruritus was assessed using the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS). Statistical analysis was performed using the Chi-square test, with significance set at $p<0.05$. Among 76 participants, 46.1% received oral antidiabetic medications, 44.7% received injectable antidiabetic medications, and 9.2% received a combination of both. The majority of patients reported moderate pruritus intensity. Statistical analysis revealed no significant association between treatment modalities and pruritus intensity ($p=0.157$). There was no significant relationship found between treatment modalities and pruritus intensity in DM patients.

Keywords: diabetes mellitus, treatment modalities, pruritus intensity

Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disease characterized by chronic hyperglycemia due to impaired insulin production or action. One of the complications often experienced by DM patients is skin disorders, including pruritus or itching. The intensity of itching in DM patients can vary, influenced by various factors such as uncontrolled blood sugar levels, diabetic neuropathy, and changes in skin conditions due to dehydration or infection [1–3].

The severity of pruritus, as well as the intensity of itching, can be evaluated using a simple tool called the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), which ranges from 0 to 10. which is done by asking the patient to choose the number that corresponds to the intensity of pruritus they experience to assess the severity of pruritus and how much it interferes with their daily life. Pruritus is

a common manifestation of DM and affects sleep patterns and circadian rhythms in DM patients, as patients experience itching more frequently during sleep [4–8]. Various therapeutic modalities have been used in the management of DM, ranging from pharmacological therapies such as insulin and oral antidiabetic drugs to non-pharmacological therapies such as lifestyle changes and dietary management. However, there are still differences in the effectiveness of various therapeutic modalities in reducing pruritus symptoms in patients with DM [9, 10]. Therefore, it is important to understand the relationship between the therapeutic modalities applied and the intensity of itching experienced by patients.

This study aims to analyze the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in patients with DM. The results of this study are expected to provide deeper insight into therapeutic strategies



that are more effective in reducing pruritus complaints in patients with DM and improving their quality of life.

Material and methods

Study design and patients

This study is an observational study with a cross-sectional design to assess the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itching intensity in patients with DM. This study was conducted from May 2024 to July 2024 at the outpatient unit of the Department of Internal Medicine of Prof. Dr. Chairuddin Panusunan Lubis Hospital, University of North Sumatra. The inclusion criteria of this study were DM patients aged ≥ 18 –60 years, DM patients with dermatological manifestations in the form of pruritus or itching symptoms. All patients who are willing to participate in the study have signed an informed consent form. Exclusion criteria in this study were pregnant, suffering from primary skin disorders such as psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, or skin infections, and patients with comorbidities, such as liver and kidney function abnormalities, and malignancies.

Laboratory, anthropometric and clinical data collection

Therapeutic modalities were categorized based on the type of therapy the patient received. Itch intensity is measured using a simple tool called the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), which ranges from 0 to 10. The assessment is conducted by asking the patient to choose the number that corresponds to the intensity of pruritus they experience, thereby evaluating the severity of pruritus and its impact on daily life.

Statistical analysis

Data were collected and statistically analyzed using the Statistical Product and Service Solutions comput-

er program (SPSS v. 26.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data processing was carried out by univariate analysis to analyze the characteristics of one variable by conducting descriptive tests, followed by bivariate analysis to analyze the relationship between variables, in this case to determine the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itching intensity in DM patients using the Chi-square test and said to be statistically significant if the p-value < 0.05 .

This study was conducted after obtaining an ethical permit from the Research Ethics Committee of the University of North Sumatra (Number 595/KEPK/USU/2024) and the Research Permit of the University of North Sumatra Prof. Dr. Chairuddin Panusunan Lubis Hospital (Number 2491/UN5.5.6.D2/PPM/2024). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

Results

The type of treatment most widely used in patients in this study was oral antidiabetics, with 35 people (46.1%), and the least common combination treatment was used by 7 people (9.2%). The characteristics of the study subjects, categorized by treatment type, are presented in Table 1.

In Table 2, it can be seen that DM patients who experience itching with injection and oral antidiabetic treatment types have moderate itching intensity, specifically 71.4% of each group. Based on the chi-square test, the p-value of 0.157 ($p > 0.05$) indicates that there is no significant relationship between therapeutic modalities and itching intensity in DM patients.

Discussion

The results of our study showed that the majority of DM patients were treated with injectable antidiabetics. The results of this study are in line with the research of Merdin et al., who found that pruritus was experienced

Table 1: Characteristics of study subjects based on treatment type.

Type of treatment	n	%
Injectable antidiabetics	34	44.7
Oral antidiabetics	35	46.1
Combination of injectable and oral antidiabetics	7	9.2
Total	76	100

Table 2: Relationship between therapy modalities and itch intensity.

Type of treatment	NRS								P-value
	Mild		Moderate		Severe		Very severe		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Injectable antidiabetics	12	35.3	21	61.8	0	0	1	2.9	
Oral antidiabetics	16	45.7	18	51.4	1	2.9	0	0	
Combination of injectable and oral antidiabetics	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0	0	0	0.157
Total	30	39.5	44	57.9	1	1.3	1	1.3	

Note: * – significant if P-value<0.05 by Chi-Square test.

by DM patients with oral antidiabetic treatment by as many as 215 people (86.3%) [11].

The majority of DM patients in our study had a moderate degree of itching intensity. Research by Boonsiri *et al.* also found that the majority of patients with type 2 DM experienced moderate pruritus (49%) [12]. Similar findings were obtained in the study of Stefaniak *et al.*, with the majority of patients experiencing moderate pruritus (54.26%) [13]. The pathogenesis of pruritus in DM is not fully understood, and various factors are described as contributing to the development of this symptom. There are two main factors associated with itching in DM: skin xerosis and diabetic neuropathy, which is associated with sweat gland dysfunction caused by sympathetic nervous system disorders. Prolonged hyperglycemia leads to changes in insulin levels, which can result in an altered hydration state of the stratum corneum and reduced sebaceous gland activity [14].

Our study did not find a statistically significant association between treatment modality and itch intensity in DM patients, with a p-value of 0.157. Patients on combined injectable and oral antidiabetic therapy tended to report moderate itching intensity compared to patients taking only oral or injectable antidiabetic drugs. Pruritus can occur with sulfonylurea, metformin, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitor, and insulin treatments used in DM patients. In addition, other drugs that most often cause pruritus include heparin, calcium channel blockers, beta blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, statins, and cotrimoxazole antibiotics [15]. Diabetes mellitus is a systemic disease that affects multiple organs, including the dermatologic system. The relationship between DM and dermatological problems stems from the disease itself, its complications, and treatment [16, 17]. Skin barrier disruption underlies dry skin, so that impaired

skin barrier function and integrity in DM patients will eventually trigger pruritus.^{18,19} Several studies have shown that patients undergoing combination therapy tend to experience improvement in itching symptoms compared to those taking only oral antidiabetic drugs, possibly due to more stable glycemic control. However, in some cases, the intensity of itching remains high despite blood sugar control, indicating that other factors, such as neuropathy or inflammatory responses, play a role in pruritus [18, 19].

Research specifically examining the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in patients with DM is limited. However, some studies have addressed related aspects that may provide insight into this topic. A study by Ko *et al.* reported a DM patient who experienced symptoms of itching all over the body, accompanied by uncontrolled hyperglycemia. Although this study did not explicitly examine the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity, these findings suggest that poor glycemic control may contribute to the appearance of pruritus in DM patients [20]. The state of hyperglycemia in DM patients is a precursor to the formation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) [21]. The accumulation of AGEs will cause skin tissue damage through the regulation of gene expression, damage to structural proteins, and activate various molecular pathways (such as the NF- κ B pathway). The presence of AGEs decreases lipid production, reduces the amount of ceramide, cholesterol, and filaggrin structural proteins in the epidermis, and disrupts skin barrier integrity, resulting in dry skin and pruritus [22]. The study by Novena *et al.* also discussed various therapeutic modalities for pruritus, including topical and systemic therapies. The management of pruritus depends on the underlying etiology, and the success of therapy is also influenced by the patient's compliance with treatment [23]. However, our

study did not find a statistically significant relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in DM patients. Further research, including multicenter studies and larger sample sizes, is needed to identify the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in patients with DM on a broader scale.

Conclusion

There was no significant association between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in patients with DM. Further research, including multicenter studies and larger sample sizes, is needed to confirm the relationship between therapeutic modalities and itch intensity in patients with DM on a broader scale. Combination therapy modalities may be beneficial for blood sugar control to reduce the intensity of itching in patients with DM.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincerest gratitude to the Head of the Dermatology and Venereology Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sumatera Utara, and to the staff of Prof. Dr. Chairuddin Panusunan Lubis, Universitas Sumatera Utara Hospital.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. World Health Organization. Diabetes. World Health Organization [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 July 27]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/diabetes#tab=tab_1
2. Chawla A, Chawla R, Jaggi S. Microvascular and macrovascular complications in diabetes mellitus: Distinct or continuum?. Indian J Endocrinol Metab [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 July 28];20(4):546-551. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.183480>
3. Perkumpulan Endokrinologi Indonesia. Pedoman Pengelolaan dan Pencegahan Diabetes Melitus Tipe 2 Dewasa di Indonesia. Jakarta: PB PERKENI; 2021. p. 2-12.
4. Ko MJ, Chiu HC, Jee SH, Hu FC, Tseng CH. Postprandial blood glucose is associated with generalized pruritus in patients with type 2 diabetes. Eur J Dermatology [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2023 Sept 05];23(5):88-93. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1684/ejd.2013.2100>
5. Neilly JB, Martin A, Simpson N, MacCuish AC. Pruritus in Diabetes Mellitus: Investigation of Prevalence and Correlation With Diabetes Control. Diabetes Care [Internet]. 1986 [cited 2023 sept 05];9(3):273-5. Available from: <https://diabetesjournals.org/care/article/9/3/273/32797/Pruritus-in-Diabetes-Melitus-Investigation-of>
6. Paul K, Paul D. Diabetes melitus and its complications: A Review. Int J Curr Pharm Rev Res [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2023 sept 05];4:12-7. Available from: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341105345>
7. Afsar B, Elsurer Afsar R. HbA1c is related with uremic pruritus in diabetic and nondiabetic hemodialysis patients. Ren Fail [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2023 sept 05];34(10):1264-9. Available from: <https://doi:10.3109/0886022X.2011.560401>
8. Polena H, Chavagnac-Bonneville M, Sayag M. Improvement of Quality of Life in Dialysis and Diabetic Patients by Skin Dryness and Pruritus Management with an Ecobiological Dermocosmetic Product. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol [Internet]. 2022 Oct [cited 2023 sept 05];V15:2143-52. Available from: <https://www.dovepress.com/improvement-of-quality-of-life-in-dialysis-and-diabetic-patients-by-sk-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-CCID>
9. Moniaga CS, Tominaga M, Takamori K. Mechanisms and management of itch in dry skin. Acta Derm Venereol [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 sept 05];100(1):10-21. Available from: <https://doi:10.2340/00015555-3344>.
10. Ponikowska M, Biegus J, Zymlinski R, Szepietowski J. Itch in Patients with Acute Heart Failure. Acta Derm Venereol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 sept 05];99(7):679-80. Available from: <https://doi:10.2340/00015555-3187>. PMID: 30938823.
11. Avcı Merdin F, Aydemir AT, Korkmaz FN, et al. Evaluation of chronic pruritus and associated skin findings in patients with diabetes mellitus. Turk J Med Sci. 2023;53(5):1489-1497. Published 2023 Sep 9. doi:10.55730/1300-0144.5716.
12. Boonsiri, Metavee. The Characteristics of Pruritus in Thai Type2 Diabetic Patients and its Impact on their Dermatology Life Quality Index. Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research [Internet] 2020 [cited 2024]
13. Stefaniak A, Chlebicka I, Szepietowski J. Itch in diabetes: A common underestimated problem. Adv. Dermatol. Allergol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 sept 05];36:177-183. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5114/ada.2019.89712>
14. Galicia-Garcia U, Benito-Vicente A, Jebari S, Larrea-Sebal A, Siddiqi H, Uribe KB, Ostolaza H, Martín C. Pathophysiology of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. International Journal of Molecular Sciences [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 August 16];21(17):6275. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21176275>
15. Huang AH, Kaffenberger BH, Reich A, Szepietowski JC, Ständer S, Kwatra SG. Pruritus Associated with Commonly Prescribed Medications in a Tertiary Care Center. Medicines [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 Sept 24];6(3):84. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines6030084>
16. Chen CY, Zhang JQ, Li L, et al. Advanced Glycation End Products in the Skin: Molecular Mechanisms, Methods of Measurement, and Inhibitory Pathways. Front Med (Lausanne). 2022;9:837222. Published 2022 May 11. doi:10.3389/fmed.2022.837222
17. Kalra S, Mittal A, Rathod RM, Pinto C, Rathod R, Mane A. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice for Pruritus Management in Physicians and Patients with Diabetes. Clin Pract. 2022;12(1):27-36. Published 2022 Jan 5. doi:10.3390/clinpract12010004

18. Cheung HN, Chan YS, Hsiung NH. Validation of the 5-D Itch Scale in Three Ethnic Groups and Exploring Optimal Cut-off Values Using the Itch Numerical Rating Scale [published correction appears in Biomed Res Int. [Internet] 2021 [cited 30 July 2024];13;2022:9759214 Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/7640314>
19. Poonja PP. Skin barrier changes in T1DM and T2DM. *Int J Diabetes Clin Res* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 30 July 2024];9(2): 156-163 Available from: <https://doi:10.23937/2377-3634/1410156>
20. Ko MJ, Chiu HC, Jee SH, Hu FC, Tseng CH. Postprandial blood glucose is associated with generalized pruritus in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Dermatology* [Internet]. 2013; [cited 2024 Jul. 27];23(5):688-693. Available from: <https://doi:10.1684/ejd.2013.2100>.
21. Khalid M, Petroianu G, Adem A. Advanced Glycation End Products and Diabetes Mellitus: Mechanisms and Perspectives. *Bioolecules* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 February 16];12(4):542
22. Chen CY, Zhang JQ, Li L, Guo MM, He YF, Dong YM, et al. Advanced Glycation End Products in the Skin: Molecular Mechanisms, Methods of Measurement, and Inhibitory Pathways. *Front Med (Lausanne)* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 February 16];9:837222.
23. Novena, O.D., Ariani, N.G.P.R. 2021. Pruritus dan modalitas terapi terkini: Sebuah tinjauan pustaka. *Intisari Sains Medis* 12(3): 694-698. DOI: 10.15562/ism.v12i3.1128