



CHANGES IN PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SKIN IN PATIENTS WITH INSUFFICIENT THERAPEUTIC CONTROLLED DIABETES MELLITUS

Anca-Elena Crăciun^{1,✉}, Mirela Moldovan², Cristina Niță^{1,2}, C. Crăciun³, A. Tătaru²

¹ Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Diseases Department,
Private Health Network "Regina Maria", Cluj-Napoca

² University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu Hațieganu", Cluj-Napoca

³ Clinical Pharmacology Department, Verumedu Bucuresti

received: October 10, 2011

accepted: November 11, 2011

available online: December 15, 2011

Abstract

*Cutaneous complications are common in diabetes. Previous assays suggest that hyperglycemia and decreased insulin signal/secretion are involved in the impairment of skin function. **Objective:** To evaluate the biophysical characteristics of skin - including transepidermal water loss (TEWL), water content, sebum and skin elasticity - in patients with insufficient therapeutic controlled diabetes mellitus (HbA1c > 6.5%) and compares them with healthy non-diabetic controls. **Methods:** This case-control study was conducted on 22 patients with diabetes and 22 age- and sex-matched healthy people. The biophysical properties of skin including stratum corneum (SC) hydration, sebum content, TEWL and skin elasticity were measured and compared between the two groups at different locations of the body. **Results:** The measurement of SC hydration was significantly lower in patients with diabetes. Sebum content, TEWL and skin elasticity showed no significant differences between groups. **Conclusion:** Diabetes affects some functional properties of skin that may be responsible for the cutaneous manifestations of diabetes.*

key words: cutaneous complications, diabetes mellitus, cutometry.

Background

Diabetes mellitus is characterized by impaired insulin production and/or signaling, elevated plasma glucose and a predisposition toward chronic complications involving several tissues. Among the chronic complications of diabetes, the dermatological complications are the least thoroughly studied, although skin is the largest organ of the human body. Several diabetes-associated skin lesions

and complications have been described so far [1, 2].

Skin serves as an interface between a host's inner and outer environments. Among its functions it also act as mechanical barrier against micro-organisms and the penetration of noxious products, which means a very important protection. There are few studies in literature focused on the state of the stratum corneum (SC) in patients with diabetes. Physical properties of SC are important for its

✉ No.72 Pasteur Street, Cluj-Napoca, Cluj; corresponding author e-mail: doctor.craciun@yahoo.com

functions: hydration state, transepidermal water loss (TEWL), sebum content and elasticity. This may now be measured with special devices. The aim of this study was to evaluate the biophysical characteristics of skin - including transepidermal water loss (TEWL), water content, sebum and skin elasticity - in patients with insufficient therapeutic controlled diabetes mellitus (HbA1c>6.5%) and compares them with healthy non-diabetic controls.

Study Objective

The objective of this study was to evaluate the biophysical characteristics of skin - including transepidermal water loss (TEWL), water content, sebum and skin elasticity - in patients with insufficient therapeutic controlled diabetes mellitus (HbA1c>6.5%) and compares them with healthy non-diabetic controls.

Material and method

We included in this study 22 patient diagnosed with diabetes, with insufficient therapeutic controlled diabetes mellitus (HbA1c>6.5%), these forming the study group. Participated in the study patients diagnosed with type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus who came for periodic medical examination for diabetes or were hospitalized in Diabetes Department of Cluj County Hospital. Control group were patients without diabetes hospitalized in Internal Medicine Department or healthy volunteers. Control group was composed of 22 age- and sex-matched healthy people. The biophysical properties of skin were measured: stratum corneum (SC) hydration was measured at 3 sites (volar side of left forearm, anterolateral side of left hip and dorsal side of left foot) sebum content was

measured at 2 sites (volar side of left forearm and left leg), TEWL was measured at one site (right forearm) and skin elasticity was measured at 4 sites (back of the right hand, volar side of right forearm, anterolateral side of right thigh and angle of the right eye).

For cutometric measurement we used the Multi Probe Adapter Systems ® (product of Courage+Khazaka, Germany), with 4 measuring devices (figure 1): Corneometer ® CM 825 - for determining the degree of skin hydration, Tewameter ® TM 300 - to determine transepidermal water loss, Sebumeter ® SM 815 - to determine the amount of sebum and Cutometer ® MPA 580 - for skin elasticity evaluation. The device is connected to a computer and each probe has its own Windows compatible program to display data. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package SPSS v.17.0 and Data Analysis module of Microsoft Excel. For describing the numerical variables we used the mean and standard deviation. For the statistical tests, the 0.05 (i.e. 5%) two-tailed level of significance was considered.

Before measurements, participants were kept for about 15 minutes at 20-24 °C and humidity of 30-45%.

The study was approved in advance by the Ethics Committee of "Iuliu Hațieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca, being conducted in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All the subjects were informed about the objectives and methods of the study and signed the Inform Consent Form in two copies (one for the patient and one for our files).



Figure 1. Measurement of transepidermal water loss by Tewameter® TM 300 and Multi Probe Adapter Systems® (product of Courage+Khazaka, Germany).

Results

The study included 22 patient diagnosed with diabetes, these forming the study group. Control group was composed of 22 age- and sex-matched healthy people.

The characteristics of study group were as follows: the mean age of the study group was 50 years with a standadr deviation of ± 11.43 years. Four out of 22 patients were men (18.18%) and 18 were women (81.81%). 8 patients from the study group were diagnosed with type 1 diabetes mellitus (36.36%) and the rest of the group (63.63%) were type 2 diabetic patients. The large majority (21 out of 22 patients, 95,45%) were insulintreated patients. The HbA1c value was 9.09 ± 1.54 and the capillary glycemia a jeun in the day when measurements were performed was 180.2 ± 49.74 mg/dl.

Table 1 shows the results of physical properties measured: the stratum corneum

hydration at 3 sites (volar side of left forearm, anterolateral side of left hip and dorsal side of left foot); sebum content at 2 sites (volar side of left forearm and dorsal side of left foot); transepidermal water loss was measured at one site (right forearm) and skin elasticity at 4 sites (back of the right hand, volar side of right forearm, anterolateral side of right thigh and angle of the right eye) (table 1). The sebum content, TEWL amd elasticity showed no significant difference between two groups in any of locations assessed in this study ($P > 0.005$). When the stratum corneum hydration of the skin was compared between the two lots, statistical differences resulted in the degree of hydration at all three sites assessed in the study: forearm ($P = 0.02$), hip ($P < 0.001$) and foot ($P = 0.02$).

Table 1. Stratum corneum hydration, sebum content, TEWL and skin elasticity in patients (n=22) and controls (n=22)

Variable	Control group (mean \pm SD)	Study group (mean \pm SD)	P-value
Age (years)	47.8 \pm 12.37	50 \pm 11.43	0.55 – NS
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.34 \pm 6.05	3156 \pm 7	0.04 – S
Hydration (a.u.-arbitrary units)	<i>volar side of left forearm</i>		
	33.93 \pm 7.97	28.67 \pm 6.63	0.02 – S
	<i>anterolateral side of left hip</i>		
	31.04 \pm 7.81	22.76 \pm 6.3	<0.001 – S
	<i>dorsal side of left foot</i>		
	32.37 \pm 8.33	26.20 \pm 8.98	0.02 – S

Sebum (spots/cm ²)	<i>volar side of left forearm</i>		
	2.04±3.45	1.40±2.17	0.47 - NS
	<i>dorsal side of left foot</i>		
	1.5±3.83	0.29±0.46	0.17 - NS
TEWL (mg/cm ² /h)	<i>right forearm</i>		
	11.47±6.2	8.44±3.12	0.06 - NS
Elasticity (mm)	<i>back of the right hand</i>		
	63.26±13.14	62.52±13.14	0.86 - NS
	<i>volar side of right forearm</i>		
	66.73±12.17	68.47±10.76	0.63 - NS
	<i>anterolateral side of right thigh</i>		
	78.42±10.75	81.42±17.04	0.52 - NS
	<i>angle of the right eye</i>		
	51±5.84	51.94±8.96	0.71 - NS

NS – insignificant difference between groups; S – significant difference between groups.

Discussion

The exact mechanism causing the various pathological conditions in skin is not known. It is usually assumed that most diabetes complications are induced due to elevated glucose levels associated with this disease. Nevertheless, the diabetic status includes, in addition to hyperglycemia, abnormal insulin signaling/secretion. Some skin lesions, like acanthosis nigricans, are directly associated with states of insulin resistance [3]. However, the most common dermatological complications associated with diabetes are impaired wound healing, foot ulcers, increased incidence of skin infections and xerotic skin [4, 5]. Several pathogenic mechanisms have been suggested to be involved in the development of diabetic complications; these include diabetic microangiopathy, premature aging of the skin fibroblasts, immune-mediated processes, changes in basement membrane structure and function, and others [6, 7]. Insulin has been demonstrated in earlier studies to be an essential growth factor for cultured keratinocytes and it has a substantial influence on their proliferation [8] and migration [9]. It has also been demonstrated that insulin regulates the keratinocyte differentiation [10]. Keratinocytes

constitutively express insulin receptor exhibiting an insulin glucose uptake system [11].

The functional properties of stratum corneum properties have been investigated in diabetes. In an assay, Sakai et al. found a decrease water content of SC without impairment of TEWL in streptozocin-induced diabetes mice. Moreover, no special changes in the size or number of sebaceous glands were seen in these mice [12]. In another study by Sakai et al., they suggested that patients with diabetes mellitus tend to show a reduced hydration state of stratum corneum without any impairment of the SC barrier function [13], as we observed in our study. The same observation were made by Seirafi et al. in a study published in 2009 [14]. In a previous study we have published, we observed that type 2 diabetic patients treated with oral therapy showed no difference in SC hydration [15]. We have found in our study that diabetic patients with insufficient therapeutic control had a significant decrease in water content of SC measured by skin capacitance, but without impairment of TEWL. However, reduced SC hydration, coupled with normal barrier function, as observed in patients with diabetes, mirrors the phenomenon that develops in aged persons [16]. Sebum content was not

influenced by uncontrolled diabetes at the sites of measurement (forearm and foot) and our results are similar with results of the Seirafi et al. However, a trend to reduce skin surface lipid content on the forehead was observed in previous studies [13, 14, 16].

The elasticity of facial skin is diminished in patients with diabetes [17]. The same results were observed by Seirafi et al, but they have not found significant difference in leg measurements. In the present study we found that there is no significant difference in skin elasticity between diabetics and controls. The difference in our measurements and other cited references might be due to different devices used to measure skin elasticity (we used Cutometer® MPA 580, which is a

worldwide acknowledged standard device to measure elasticity and they used Reviscometer® RVM 600, which is a very interesting tool to measure the direction of the collagen and elastin fibres in the skin).

Conclusion

Insufficient therapeutic controlled diabetes mellitus affects some functional properties of skin that may be responsible for the cutaneous manifestations of diabetes. It produces an impaired stratum corneum hydration, at least in certain parts of the body. TEWL and sebum content was not influenced by insufficient therapeutic controlled diabetes. We found no difference in skin elasticity measured by cutometry.

REFERENCES

1. **Huntley AC.** Cutaneous manifestations of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab Rev* 9: 161-176, 1993.
2. **Perez MI, Kohn SR.** Cutaneous manifestations of diabetes mellitus. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 30: 519-531, 1994.
3. **Sadeghian G, Ziaie H, Amini M, Ali Nilfroushzadeh M.** Evaluation of insulin resistance in obese women with and without acanthosis nigricans. *J Dermatol* 36(4): 209-12, 2009.
4. **Bhat YJ, Gupta V, Kudyar RP.** Cutaneous manifestations of diabetes mellitus. *Int J Diab Ctries* 26(4): 152-155, 2006.
5. **Diris N, Colomb M, Leymarie F et al.** Dermatoses non infectieuses au cours du diabète sucré. Etude prospective de 308 malades. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 130: 1009-14, 2003.
6. **Cechowska-Pasko M, Palka J, Bankowski E.** Decreased biosynthesis of glycosaminoglycans in the skin of rats with chronic diabetes mellitus. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* 51: 239-243, 1999.
7. **Wertheimer E, Spravchikov N, Trebicz M.** The Regulation of Skin Proliferation and Differentiation in the IR Null Mouse: Implications for Skin Complications of Diabetes. *Endo* Vol. 142, No. 3-1234-1241, 1991.
8. **Tsao MC, Walthall BJ and Ham RG.** Clonal growth of normal human epidermal keratinocytes in a defined medium. *J Cell Physiol* 110: 219-229, 1982.
9. **Ando Y and Jensen PJ.** Epidermal growth factor and insulin-like growth factor I enhance keratinocyte migration. *J Invest Dermatol* 100: 633-639, 1991.
10. **Musselmann K, Alexandrou B, Kane B et al.** Maintenance of the Keratinocyte Phenotype during Cell Proliferation Stimulated by Insulin. *J Biol Chem* 280: 32634-32639. 2005.
11. **Spravchikov N, Sizyakov G, Gartsbein M et al.** Glucose effect on skin keratinocytes: implications for diabetes skin complications. *Diabetes* 50: 1627-1635, 2001.
12. **Sakai S, Endo Y, Ozawa N et al.** Characteristics of the epidermis and stratum corneum of hairless mice with experimentally induced diabetes mellitus. *J Invest Dermatol* 120: 79-85, 2003.

13. Sakai S, Kikuchi K, Satoh J, Tagami H, Inoue S. Functional properties of the stratum corneum in patients with diabetes mellitus: similarities to senile xerosis. *Br J Dermatol* 153: 319-323, 2005.

14. Seirafi H, Farsinejad K, Firooz A et al. Biophysical characteristics of skin in diabetes: a controlled study. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venerol* 23: 146-149, 2009.

15. Crăciun A, Moldovan M, Sălăjan F et al. Diabetes Mellitus patients with xerotic skin – a case control study. *Dermato Venerol (Buc.)* 55: 79-87, 2010.

16. Sakai Shingo and Hachiro Tagami, Chapter 63. Dry Skin in Diabetes Mellitus and in Experimental Models of Diabetes, *Textbook of aging skin* by Miranda A. Farage, Kenneth W. Miller and Howard I. Maibach, Springer-Verlang Berlin Heidelberg, pp.659, 2010.

17. Yoon HS, Baik SH and Oh CH. Quantitative measurement of desquamation and skin elasticity in diabetic patients. *Skin Research and Technology* 8(4): 250-255, 2002.